



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/884,921	06/21/2001	Marco Peretti	702265.0007	6896
7590 03/22/2005			EXAMINER	
John W. Ryan	1		REVAK, CHRISTOPHER A	
Dechert LLP 1775 Eye St., N	1.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, DC 20006			2131	
			DATE MAILED: 03/22/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		/	W
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summan	09/884,921	PERETTI, MARCO	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
The MAII INC DATE of this accommissation and	Christopher A. Revak	2131	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orresponaence adaress	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Ju	<u>ne 2001</u> .		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	ice except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-14 and 16-30 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 15 is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or			
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		• •	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		· ·	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	armion recount and accorda office	7,000,101,101,117,102.	
		(1) (0	
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priorical form. 	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary		
2)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) ☐ Notice of Informal P	ate atent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 09/884,921 Page 2

Art Unit: 2131

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Applicant's claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(e) is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 7,21,29, and 30 contain the trademark "Windows", "Windows NT" and "Windows 2000". Where a trademark is used in a claim as a limitation to identify or describe a particular material or product, the claim does not comply with the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph. See *Ex parte Simpson*, 218 USPQ 1020 (Bd. App. 1982). The claim scope is uncertain since the trademark cannot be used properly to identify any particular material or product. A trademark is used to identify a source of goods, and not the goods themselves. Thus, a trademark does not identify or describe the goods associated with the trademark. In the present case, the trademark is used to identify software and, accordingly, the identification/description is indefinite.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/884,921

Art Unit: 2131

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

5. Claims 1-14 and 16-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bharat, U.S. Patent 6,577,735.

As per claims 1,18, and 22, Bharat discloses of a method, apparatus, and computer readable medium containing embedded software for backing up (shadowing) only accesses to audio data stored on a portable audio player comprising a compact disk (external storage media)(col. 3, lines 47-53 and col. 4, lines 25-28). Input/Output access is detected to the compact disk (external storage medium). A copy of the accessed data is written onto the computer's hard disk (location other than the external storage medium)(col. 3, lines 47-55).

As per claims 2 and 19, it is taught by Bharat of access being a write operation (col. 5, lines 22-25).

As per claim 3, Bharat discloses of accessing being a read operation (col. 5, lines 22-25).

As per claims 4 and 20, Bharat teaches of intercepting the I/O request from the computer to the compact disk (external storage medium) drive in which the compact disk (external storage medium) is inserted to check for authenticity (col. 7, lines 48-60).

As per claim 5, Bharat discloses of the I/O request includes both read and write requests (col. 5, lines 22-25).

As per claims 6 and 23, it is taught by Bharat of a file handling system (proxy handler) for the I/O requests and executing it in response to detection of media in the drive (col. 4, lines 31-34 and col. 7, lines 55-60).

As per claims 7,21,24, and 25, the teachings of Bharat disclose of use of an operating system stored in the system memory (col. 4, lines 27-30). It is interpreted by the examiner that the operating system can be instructed to perform commands such as IRP_MJ_READ and IRP_MJ_WRITE since they vary depending upon how the developer identified the code to be executed. Bharat does disclose of both read and write operations (col. 5, lines 22-25).

As per claim 8, Bharat discloses of a file handling system (proxy handler) for the I/O requests and executing it in response to detection of media in the drive (col. 4, lines 31-34 and col. 7, lines 55-60). It is interpreted by the examiner that the operating system can be instructed to perform commands such as IRP_MJ_READ and IRP_MJ_WRITE since they vary depending upon how the developer identified the code to be executed. Bharat does disclose of both read and write operations (col. 5, lines 22-25).

As per claim 9, the teachings of Bharat disclose of a file handling system (proxy handler) for the I/O requests and executing it in response to detection of media in the drive (col. 4, lines 31-34 and col. 7, lines 55-60). It is interpreted by the examiner that the operating system can be instructed to perform a command such as IRP_MJ_READ since they vary depending upon how the developer identified the code to be executed. Bharat discloses read operations (col. 5, lines 22-25).

Art Unit: 2131

As per claim 10, Bharat teaches that the data stored on the computer's hard disk (location other than the external storage medium) is stored as an encrypted file (protected storage location)(col. 3, lines 47-54).

As per claim 11, Bharat discloses of writing the data to the portable jukebox (comprising an external storage medium) after the step of writing a copy of the data to the computer's hard disk (location other than the external storage medium)(col. 3, lines 47-55 and col. 8, lines 4-24).

As per claim 12, it is taught by Bharat of attaching file systems connected to an external storage medium drive in which the external storage medium is connected and intercepting the I/O request from the computer to the compact disk (external storage medium) drive in which the compact disk (external storage medium) is inserted to check for authenticity (col. 7, lines 48-60).

As per claim 13, it is disclosed by Bharat that the external storage medium is a compact disk (col. 3, lines 51-53).

As per claim 14, the teachings of Bharat disclose of storing the accessed data onto the computer's hard disk (database)(col. 3, lines 47-55). It is interpreted by the examiner that this information can be queried since it contains similar copies.

As per claim 16, Bharat discloses of the computer is connected to a computer network and the detecting and copying is performed at the computer (col. 3, lines 47-55 and col. 4, lines 19-22). Collecting and querying is performed using the CDDB at another computer on the network (col. 4, lines 19-22 and col. 5, lines 43-46).

Application/Control Number: 09/884,921 Page 6

Art Unit: 2131

As per claim 17, Bharat teaches of collecting and querying is performed by a user who is authorized (with administrator privileges)(col. 6, lines 57-61).

As per claim 26, Bharat discloses of a file handling system (proxy handler) for the I/O requests and executing it in response to detection of media in the drive (col. 4, lines 31-34 and col. 7, lines 55-60). File identifiers are stored in a list (col. 3, lines 1-8).

As per claim 27, Bharat discloses that the file identifiers are serial numbers (IRP.FsContext values)(col. 3, lines 1-8).

As per claim 28, Bharat discloses of a file handling system (proxy handler) for the I/O requests and executing it in response to detection of media in the drive. The number of iterations (certain file operations) is counted in determining authenticity of the compact disk (external storage medium) after failing to do so (col. 4, lines 31-34 and col. 7, lines 55-60).

As per claim 29, Bharat discloses of the computer running an operating system and that includes a file handling system (proxy handler) for the I/O requests and executing it in response to detection of media in the drive (col. 4, lines 25-34 and col. 7, lines 55-60).

As per claim 30, it is taught by Bharat that an operating system (Windows NT) is stored in the system memory (col. 4, lines 27-30).

Allowable Subject Matter

Application/Control Number: 09/884,921 Page 7

Art Unit: 2131

6. Claim 15 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Ji et al, U.S. Patent 6,836,657 discloses of generating backup copies of updated files.

Swamy et al, U.S. Patent 5,935,244 discloses of a applying security for detachable I/O device connected to a computer.

SecureNT Overview discloses of I/O devices are applied access control lists.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher A. Revak whose telephone number is 571-272-3794. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 6:30am-4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh can be reached on 571-272-3795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/884,921

Art Unit: 2131

Page 8

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Christopher Revak

AU 2131

3/13/05

CR W

March 13, 2005